UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

I HOMAS AND	ERSON	
		CASE NO. 07 CV 6372 EMC
	Plaintiff(s),	
WORLDWATEF TECHNOLOGIE		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	•	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the following	lowing ADR process:
Court	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Early Neutral Evaluation (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	•
appreciably m	nore likely to meet their nee conference and may not file	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Privat ✓	te Process: Private ADR (<i>please iden</i>	tify process and provider) Private mediation with JAMS
The parties ag ✓	referring the case to an A.	n by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested deadline	
Dated: 3/7/08		Robert O. Whyte Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 3/7/08		Robert Huie Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated:		

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE